

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/756,257	11/25/1996	JOHN D. SCHELLENBERG	4204.7-1	2922
7	590 01/21/2004	EXAMINER		
MARC A. HI		SMITH, RUTH S		
MUNSCH HA 4000 FOUNTA	RDT KOPF & HARR AIN PLACE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1445 ROSS AV	· · •	3737	25	
DALLAS, TX	. /3202-2/90	DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004 38		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					4			
,		Application No.		Applicant(s)	1			
,		08/756,257		SCHELLENBERG, JOHN D.				
Office Action Sumi	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ruth S Smith		3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date If the period for reply specified above is less If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. Than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period we find for reply will, by statute, tree months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, however, mag within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to becom	y a reply be tirr thirty (30) days MONTHS from e ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on <u>13 N</u> o	ovember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.		·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) <u>1,7-13,15,22,26,2</u>	9,31 and 42-47 is/ar	e pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7-13,15,26,29 and 31</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 42-47</u> is/a	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 42-47</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are object								
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) ☐ The specification is objected	d to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12)								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review (PTO-948)			(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P		·		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				

Application/Control Number: 08/756,257

Art Unit: 3737

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 13, 2003 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22,42-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manwaring et al in view of Wilk et al (WO 93/15648). Manwaring et al discloses an apparatus which includes a surgical tool and means for determining the actual position of the distal end of the tool relative to a desired position of the tool. Manwaring et al further provides a display for indicating such differences in position. The display includes a plurality of indicators. Reference numeral 46 represents the plurality of indicators which provide an indication to a human operator of a direction in which the tool should be moved to reach a desired position. Wilk et al disclose a surgical tool having a display on the tool. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to have modified Manwaring et al such that the display is mounted on the tool in order to provide a more compact system. The power source of the system would provide a means for activating the indicating means and the use of the modified system would result in the performance of the claimed method.

Art Unit: 3737

Page 3

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1,7-13,15,26,29,31 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on (703) 308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3590.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3737

**RSS**